

Charter School Corrective Action Verification/Compliance and Improvement Plan - Bureau of Special Education

This form is designed to serve both as a planning tool and as verification of completion of corrective action.

Charter School: Esperanza Academy CHS

Chief Executive Officer: Mr. David Rossi

Special Education Director/Coordinator: Ms. Marguerite Baril

BSE Special Education Adviser: Walter Howard

Date of Report: February 08, 2012 **Reminder: The timelines for corrective action of all non-compliance items may not exceed ONE YEAR from the Report of Findings Date.**

First Visit Date: September 21, 2009

Y	N	NA	D K	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
						Topical Area 1: Policies, Practices, and Procedures			
Y						1. FSA-ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY AND SERVICES Standard: The Local Education Agency (LEA) observed the requirement that the provision of assistive technology is reflected in the student's IEP			
Y						1A. FSA-HEARING AIDS Standard: Each public agency shall ensure that the hearing aids worn in school by children with hearing impairments, including deafness, are functioning properly. Each public agency must ensure that the external components of surgically implanted medical devices are functioning properly			
Y						2. FSA-POSITIVE BEHAVIOR SUPPORT Standard: LEA complies with the positive behavior support policy requirements.			
Y						3. FSA-CHILD FIND Standard: LEA demonstrates compliance with annual public notice requirements.			
Y						4. FSA-CONFIDENTIALITY Standard The LEA is in compliance with confidentiality requirements.			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
Y						5. FSA-DISPUTE RESOLUTION (DUE PROCESS HEARING DECISION IMPLEMENTATION) Standard: The LEA uses dispute resolution processes for program improvement.			
Y						8. FSA-PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUSPENSION Standard: The LEA adheres to procedural requirements in suspending students with disabilities.			
Y						10. FSA-INDEPENDENT EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION Standard: The LEA documents a procedure for responding to requests made by parents for an independent educational evaluation at public expense.			
Y						11A. FSA-LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT Standard: The LEA's continuum of special education services supports the availability of LRE under 34 CFR Part 300.			
Y						12. FSA-EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR SERVICES			
Y						13. FSA-RELATED SERVICE INCLUDING PSYCHOLOGICAL COUNSELING			
	N					15. FSA-PARENT TRAINING Standard: Parent opportunities for training and information sharing address the special knowledge, skills and abilities needed to serve the unique needs of children with disabilities.	During CAVP meeting LEA presented additional documents and information to verify that parent training had occurred as required by IDEIA.	07/21/2010	09/21/2009
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent)			
						P 62. My school district/charter school makes available training related to the needs of students with disabilities that I could attend. 3 Always 2 Sometimes 0 Rarely 1 Never 1 Don't Know 0 Does not Apply			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
					4 2 0 1 0 0	P 63. My school district/charter school invites parents to trainings that are available to school staff regarding research based best practices, supplementary aids and services, differentiating instruction and modifying the general education curriculum. Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
Y						18. FSA-SURROGATE PARENTS (STUDENTS REQUIRING) Standard: The LEA identifies eligible students in need of surrogate parents and recruits, selects, trains, and assigns in a timely manner.			
	N					19. FSA-PERSONNEL TRAINING Standard: In-service training appropriately and adequately prepares and trains personnel to address the special knowledge, skills, and abilities to serve the unique needs of children with disabilities, including those with low incidence disabilities, when applicable.	During CAVP meeting LEA presented additional documents and information to verify that personnel training had occurred as required by IDEIA.	07/21/2010	09/21/2009
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (General & Special Education Teacher)			
10	0	0				GE 88. Do you receive training regarding how to differentiate instruction and modify the curriculum in your classroom?			
10	0	0				GE 89. Do you receive training regarding how to provide positive behavior supports for students with negative behaviors?			
8	2	0				GE 90. If you have a student with a behavioral need, have you been trained how to deescalate negative and aggressive student behavior?			
8	2	0				GE 91. Do you participate in determining the kinds of training and technical assistance needed to support students with IEPs in regular education classrooms?			
5	0	5				GE 94. If a student has AT included in his/her current IEP, have you received training in AT, and accessing AT resources?			
10	0	0				SE 124. Do you collaborate with general education teachers and administrators to recommend training needs for personnel within the LEA?			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
Y						20. FSA-INTENSIVE INTERAGENCY APPROACH Standard: The LEA identifies, reports, and provides for the provision of Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) for all students with disabilities including those students needing intensive interagency approaches.			
Y						21. FSA-SUMMARY OF ACADEMIC AND FUNCTIONAL PERFORMANCE/PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARD REQUIREMENTS FOR GRADUATION Standard: The LEA provides Summary of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance for children whose eligibility terminates due to graduation or aging out. The LEA provides required prior written notice for graduation			
						Topical Area 2: Delivery of Service			
Y						17. FSA-PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT Standard: The LEA's percentage of children with disabilities served in special education is comparable to state data.			
Y						22. FSA-DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION THAT IS THE RESULT OF INAPPROPRIATE IDENTIFICATION Standard: LEA does not demonstrate disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups receiving special education or by disability group.			
						CLASSROOM OBSERVATIONS			
7	1	0		1		CO 1. Is the instruction provided to the student individualized as required by his/her IEP?	The LEA will review with the classroom teachers the general protocol and requirements for individualized instruction as indicated by each students IEP, and how to integrate same when providing instruction in grouping with non disabled peers. The PDE adviser will return and select a random sample of students/classes to observe in order to verify the above corrective action.	07/21/2010 LEA	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
7	0	1		1		CO 2. Is the instruction being provided in accordance with the goals in the student's IEP?			
0	0	9		0		CO 3. If assistive technology is included in the student's IEP and required for the activity observed, is it being used?			
4	2	0		3		CO 4. If the student is in a regular education setting, is he/she participating in the lesson taught by the general education teacher or a co-teacher?	<p>The LEA will review with the classroom teachers the general protocol and requirements for individualized instruction as indicated by each students IEP, and how to integrate same when providing instruction in grouping with non disabled peers.</p> <p>The PDE adviser will return and select a random sample of students/classes to observe in order to verify the above corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA	06/21/2010
6	1	0		2		CO 5. If the student is in a regular education setting, is the student appropriately integrated (physically) in the class?	<p>The LEA will review with the classroom teachers the general protocol and requirements for individualized instruction as indicated by each students IEP, and how to integrate same when providing instruction in grouping with non disabled peers.</p> <p>The PDE adviser will return and select a random sample of students/classes to observe in order to verify the above corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA	06/21/2010
4	0	3		2		CO 6. If the student's IEP contains supplementary aids and/or services, are they being delivered in the classroom setting as required?			
8	1	0		0		CO 7. Does this setting coincide with the student's IEP with regard to the extent to which the student is educated with non-disabled peers?	<p>The LEA will review with the classroom teachers the general protocol and requirements for individualized instruction as indicated by each students IEP, and how to integrate same when providing instruction in grouping with non disabled peers.</p> <p>The PDE adviser will return and select a random sample of students/classes to observe in order to verify the above corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent, General & Special Education Teacher)			
					3 3 0 0 1 0	P 55. My child does classroom work in a regular classroom with students without disabilities. Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
					6 1 0 0 0 0	P 56. My child participates or has the opportunity to participate in school activities other than classroom work, including extra-curricular activities, with students without disabilities. Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
10	0	0				GE 70. Are you familiar with the content of this student's current IEP, including accommodations, supplementary aids and services, and annual goals?			
10	0	0				GE 71. Do you adapt and modify the general education curriculum based on the student's current IEP?			
10	0	0				GE 72. Do you have support from special education personnel to help you modify curriculum, instruction and assessment as required in the student's current IEP?			
10	0	0				GE 73. Are you and the special education personnel working collaboratively to implement this student's program?			
10	0	0				GE 78. Are all the supplementary aids and services necessary for the student's progress in the general education class included in his/her current IEP?			
9	1	0				GE 80. Is the student making progress within the general education curriculum?			
10	0	0				GE 85. Do you have sufficient time to collaborate with the special education teacher in order to meet this student's needs?			
6	0	4				GE 93. Do special education personnel work directly with you to help you reduce negative student behaviors?			
10	0	0				SE 95. Is this student participating in the general education class and curriculum with students without disabilities to the maximum extent possible?			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
10	0	0				SE 96. Has the student been given the opportunity to participate in non-academic and extracurricular activities with children without disabilities?			
4	0	6				SE 97. Have necessary supports been offered and/or provided to enable that participation?			
9	0	1				SE 99. Are you and related services personnel working together toward meeting the measurable annual goals for this student?			
10	0	0				SE 100. Are you and general education personnel working together toward meeting the measurable annual goals for this student?			
6	0	4				SE 115. Did the IEP team have available information regarding use of the Supplementary Aids and Services ToolKit?			
10	0	0				SE 125. Do you collaborate with general education teachers to identify training needs related to the provision of supplementary aids and services to students with IEPs in the general education classroom?			
						Topical Area 3: Performance Indicators			
Y						5A. FSA-EFFECTIVE USE OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION Standard: The LEA uses dispute resolution processes for program improvement.			
Y						6. FSA-GRADUATION RATES (SPP) Standard: The graduation rate of the LEA's students with disabilities is comparable to the state graduation rate.			
Y						7. FSA-DROPOUT RATES (SPP) Standard: The dropout rate of the LEA's students with disabilities is comparable to the state dropout rate.			
Y						8A. FSA-SUSPENSION RATES Standard: The LEA's rate of suspensions and expulsions of students with disabilities is comparable to the rate of other LEAs in the state.			
Y						11. FSA-LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (SPP) Standard: Students with disabilities are provided for in the least restrictive environment			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
Y						16. FSA-PARTICIPATION IN PSSA AND PASA (SPP) Standard: The LEA's population of students who participate in state assessment is comparable with the state data.			
Y						16A. FSA-DISTRICT-WIDE ASSESSMENT			
						Topical Area 4: Evaluation and Reevaluation Process and Content			
						CONSENT AND WAIVER REQUIREMENTS FOR EVALUATION/REEVALUATION			
						PERMISSION TO EVALUATE (File Reviews)			
0	0	10				FR 153. PTE-Consent Form is present in the student file			
0	0	10				FR 154. Demographic data			
0	0	10				FR 155. Reason(s) for referral for evaluation			
0	0	10				FR 156. Proposed types of tests and assessments			
0	0	10				FR 157. Parent signature or documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain consent			
0	0	10				FR 158. Parent signature or documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain consent			
0	0	10				FR 159. Parent has selected a consent option			
						PERMISSION TO REEVALUATE (File Reviews)			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
6	2	2			25%	FR 194. PTRE-Consent Form is present in the student file	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
6	0	4				FR 195. Demographic data			
6	0	4				FR 196. Reason for reevaluation			
6	0	4				FR 197. Types of assessment tools, tests and procedures to be used			
6	0	4				FR 198. Contact person's name and contact information			
6	0	4				FR 199. Parent has selected a consent option			
6	0	4				FR 200. Parent signature or documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain consent			
						AGREEMENT TO WAIVE REEVALUATION (File Reviews)			
2	0	8				FR 201. Agreement to Waive Reevaluation is present in the student file			
1	1	8			50%	FR 202. Waiver was completed within required timelines			
1	1	8			50%	FR 203. Reason reevaluation is not necessary at this time is included			
2	0	8				FR 204. Contact person's name and contact information			
2	0	8				FR 205. Parent has selected a consent option			
2	0	8				FR 206. Parent signature			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
						EVALUATION REPORT (INITIAL) (File Reviews)			
0	0	10				FR 160. ER is present in the student file			
0	0	10				FR 161. Evaluation was completed within timelines			
0	0	10				FR 162. A copy of the ER was disseminated to parents at least 10 school days prior to meeting of the IEP team (unless this requirement is waived by parent in writing)			
0	0	10				FR 163. Demographic data			
0	0	10				FR 164. Date report was provided to parent			
0	0	10				FR 165. Reason(s) for referral			
0	0	10				FR 166. Reason(s) for referral reflect the reason(s) listed on the PTE-Consent Form			
0	0	10				FR 167. Evaluations and information provided by the parents of the student (or documentation of LEA's attempts to obtain parent input)			
0	0	10				FR 168. Teacher observations and observations by related service providers, when appropriate			
0	0	10				FR 169. Recommendations by teachers			
0	0	10				FR 170. The student's physical condition (including health, vision, hearing); social or cultural background; and adaptive behavior relevant to the student's suspected disability and potential need for special education			
0	0	10				FR 171. Assessments, including when appropriate, current classroom based assessments, aptitude and achievement tests; local and/or state assessments; behavioral assessments; vocational technical education assessment results; interests, preferences, aptitudes (for secondary transition); etc.			
0	0	10				FR 172. If an assessment is not conducted under standard conditions, description of the extent to which it varied from standard conditions (including if the assessment was given in the student's native language or other mode of communication)			
0	0	10				FR 173. Lack of appropriate instruction in reading			
0	0	10				FR 174. Lack of appropriate instruction in math			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
0	0	10				FR 175. Limited English proficiency			
0	0	10				FR 176. Present levels of academic achievement			
0	0	10				FR 177. Present levels of functional performance			
0	0	10				FR 178. Behavioral information			
0	0	10				FR 179. Conclusions			
0	0	10				FR 180. Disability Category			
0	0	10				FR 181. Recommendations for consideration by the IEP team			
0	0	10				FR 182. Evaluation Team Participants documented			
0	0	10				FR 183. For students evaluated for SLD documentation of Agree/Disagree			
0	0	10				FR 184. Documentation that the student does not achieve adequately for age, etc.			
0	0	10				FR 185. Indication of process(es) used to determine eligibility			
0	0	10				FR 186. Instructional strategies used and student-centered data collected			
0	0	10				FR 187. Educationally relevant medical findings, if any			
0	0	10				FR 188. Effects of the student's environment, culture, or economic background			
0	0	10				FR 189. Data demonstrating that regular education instruction was delivered by qualified personnel, including the ESL program, if applicable			
0	0	10				FR 190. Data based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, which was provided to parents			
0	0	10				FR 191. Observation in the student's learning environment			
0	0	10				FR 192. Other data if needed			
0	0	10				FR 193. Statement for all 6 items indicated to support conclusions of the evaluation team			
						REEVALUATION REPORT (File Reviews)			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
7	1	2			13%	FR 207. RR is present in the student file			
4	3	3			43%	FR 208. Reevaluation was completed within timelines	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
2	5	3			71%	FR 209. A copy of the RR was disseminated to parents at least 10 school days prior to the meeting of the IEP team (unless this requirement was waived by a parent in writing)	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
6	1	3			14%	FR 210. Demographic data			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
3	4	3			57%	FR 211. Date IEP team reviewed existing evaluation data	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
4	3	3			43%	FR 212. Physical condition, social, or cultural background and adaptive behavior relevant to the student's need for special education	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
5	2	3			29%	FR 213. Evaluations and information provided by the parent (or documentation of LEA's attempts to obtain parent input)	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
4	0	6				FR 214. Aptitude and achievement tests			
3	3	4			50%	FR 215. Current classroom based assessments and local and/or state assessments	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
6	0	4				FR 216. Observations by teacher(s) and related service provider(s) when appropriate			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
4	2	4			33%	FR 217. Teacher recommendations	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
3	0	7				FR 218. Lack of appropriate instruction in reading			
3	0	7				FR 219. Lack of appropriate instruction in math			
3	0	7				FR 220. Limited English proficiency			
3	3	4			50%	FR 221. Conclusion regarding need for additional data is indicated	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
0	1	9			100%	FR 222. Reasons additional data are not needed are included			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
5	1	4			17%	FR 223. Determination whether the child has a disability and requires special education			
6	0	4				FR 224. Disability category(ies)			
5	1	4			17%	FR 225. Summary of findings includes student's educational strengths and needs			
5	1	4			17%	FR 226. Summary of findings includes present levels of academic achievement and related developmental needs, including transition needs as appropriate			
4	2	4			33%	FR 227. Summary of findings includes recommendations for consideration by the IEP team regarding additions or modifications to the student's programs	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
3	0	7				FR 228. Interpretation of additional data			
2	0	8				FR 229. Documentation that the student does not achieve adequately for age, etc.			
3	0	7				FR 230. Indication of process(es) used to determine eligibility			
2	0	8				FR 231. Instructional strategies used and student-centered data collected			
1	0	9				FR 232. Educationally relevant medical findings, if any			
2	1	7			33%	FR 233. Effects of the student's environment, culture, or economic background			
2	0	8				FR 234. Data demonstrating that regular education instruction was delivered by personnel, including the ESL program, if applicable			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
2	0	8				FR 235. Data based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, which was provided to parents			
1	2	7			67%	FR 236. Observation in the student's learning environment	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
2	0	8				FR 237. Other data if needed			
2	0	8				FR 238. Statement for all 6 items			
4	3	3			43%	FR 239. Documentation of Evaluation Team Participants	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
4	2	4			33%	FR 240. Documentation that team members Agree/Disagree	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent & Special Education Teacher)			
6	0	0	1			P 24. Have you been asked to provide information for your child's evaluation/reevaluation?			
6	0	0	1			P 25. Were you given the opportunity to provide this information in writing or in another way that worked for you?			
5	0	0	2			P 26. Was the information you provided to the school for your child's evaluation considered in your child's Evaluation Report?			
3	1	2	1			P 27. If your child was not reevaluated when required (every 2 years for children with mental retardation, and every 3 years for children with other disabilities) did you agree in writing to waive the reevaluation?			
1	2	3	1			P 51. Have you requested an Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE) for your child to be paid for by the school?			
0	0	6	1			P 52. If you have obtained an IEE for your child, were the results of that evaluation considered by the team?			
0	0	6	1			P 53. Were the results of the IEE included in the school's Evaluation Report for your child?			
0	0	10				SE 119. If this student is not making progress, has he/she been reevaluated and/or has the IEP been reviewed?			
						Topical Area 5: IEP Process and Content			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
						INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE IN IEP TEAM OR OTHER MEETING (File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 241. Invitation is present in the student file			
10	0	0				FR 242. Invitation to Participate in the IEP Meeting was issued prior to the meeting (or documentation that parent signed waiver to move directly to IEP meeting)			
10	0	0				FR 243. Demographic data			
10	0	0				FR 244. Purpose(s) of the meeting			
7	3	0			30%	FR 245. Transition planning and services – Invitation to parents is checked (age 14, younger if determined appropriate)	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
1	4	5			80%	FR 246. For transition services that are likely to be provided or paid for by other agencies, evidence that representatives of the agency(ies) were invited to attend the IEP meeting	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
3	7	0			70%	FR 247. Transition planning and services – Invitation to student is checked (age 14, or younger if determined appropriate)	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
9	1	0			10%	FR 248. Invited IEP team members			
10	0	0				FR 249. Date/time/location of meeting			
10	0	0				FR 250. Parent response, or documentation of parent attendance at the meeting, or documentation of multiple efforts to encourage participation			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
						PARENT CONSENT TO EXCUSE MEMBERS FROM ATTENDING IEP TEAM MEETING (File Reviews)			
0	3	7			100%	FR 251. Parent Consent to Excuse Members from Attending the IEP Team Meeting is present in the student file	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
0	0	10				FR 252. Demographic data			
0	0	10				FR 253. Form designates IEP team member(s) for whom attendance is not necessary			
0	0	10				FR 254. Form designates which members will submit written input prior to the meeting			
0	0	10				FR 255. Parent written consent is documented			
						FR 256. The team members excused:			
					0	a. General Education Teacher			
					0	b. Special Education Teacher			
					0	c. Local Education Agency Representative			
						IEP CONTENT (File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 257. IEP is present in the student file			
9	1	0			10%	FR 258. IEP was completed within timelines			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
8	2	0			20%	FR 259. Demographic data	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
10	0	0				FR 260. IEP implementation date			
9	0	1				FR 261. Anticipated duration of services and programs			
3	0	7				FR 262. If appropriate, LEA and parent agreement to make changes to IEP without convening an IEP meeting			
						DOCUMENTATION OF IEP TEAM PARTICIPATION (File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 263. Parents			
9	0	1				FR 264. Student			
10	0	0				FR 265. General Education Teacher			
9	1	0			10%	FR 266. Special Education Teacher			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
7	3	0			30%	FR 267. Local Education Agency Representative	The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's. The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
1	0	9				FR 268. Career/Technical Education (CTE) Representative			
1	0	9				FR 269. CTE Representative was in attendance if student was attending CTE			
2	0	8				FR 270. Community Agency Representative			
0	0	10				FR 271. Teacher of the Gifted			
0	1	9			100%	FR 272. Written input provided by IEP team member(s) excused from participating in the IEP meeting if the invitation stated they were to provide written input			
8	1	1			11%	FR 273. Copy of Procedural Safeguards Notice was given to parent during the school year			
						SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS (File Reviews)			
3	0	7				FR 274. If the student is blind or visually impaired, a description of the instruction in Braille and the use of Braille, unless the IEP team determines that such instruction is not appropriate			
4	0	6				FR 275. If the student is deaf or hard of hearing, a communication plan			
3	0	7				FR 276. If the student has communication needs, needs must be addressed in the IEP			
3	0	7				FR 277. If the student requires assistive technology devices and/or services, needs must be addressed in the IEP			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
4	0	6				FR 278. If the student has limited English proficiency, the IEP team must consider English as Second Language for provision of FAPE			
3	0	7				FR 279. If the student has behaviors that impede his/her learning or that of others, the IEP includes a Positive Behavior Support Plan based on a functional assessment of behavior utilizing positive behavior techniques			
2	0	8				FR 280. If the student has other special considerations, these are addressed in the IEP			
						PRESENT LEVELS OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND FUNCTIONAL PERFORMANCE (File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 281. Student's present levels of academic achievement			
9	1	0			10%	FR 282. Student's present levels of functional performance			
8	1	1			11%	FR 283. Present levels related to current postsecondary transition goals (if student is 14, or younger if determined by IEP team)			
6	3	1			33%	FR 284. Parental concerns for enhancing the education of the student (if provided by parent to the LEA)	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
8	2	0			20%	FR 285. How the student's disability affects involvement and progress in the general education curriculum	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
10	0	0				FR 286. Strengths			
10	0	0				FR 287. Academic, developmental, and functional needs related to student's disability			
						TRANSITION SERVICES (File Reviews)			
1	0	9				FR 288. If the student's IEP required participation in CTE program, was the CIP code completed			
7	2	1			22%	FR 289. Postsecondary goals for education and training, employment, and, as needed, independent living	<p>PDE provided the LEA with the names of individual students for whom individual corrective action must be implemented. The LEA must submit documentation of required corrective action within 30 days.</p>	08/20/2009 Transition goals reviewed by IEP team as recommended by team at exit interview. No further action is required.	08/10/2009

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
5	4	1			44%	FR 290. Location, Frequency, Projected Beginning Date, Anticipated Duration, and Person(s)/Agency Responsible for Activity/Service	The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's. The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
9	1	0			10%	FR 291. Transition services/activities (including courses of study) to support postsecondary goals			
7	3	0			30%	FR 292. Measurable annual goals that will reasonably enable the student to meet the desired post-school goals	PDE provided the LEA with the names of individual students for whom individual corrective action must be implemented. The LEA must submit documentation of required corrective action within 30 days.	08/20/2009 Transition goals reviewed by IEP team as recommended by team at exit interview. No further action is required.	08/10/2009
						PARTICIPATION IN STATE AND LOCAL ASSESSMENTS (File Review)			
8	0	2				FR 293. Documentation of IEP team decision regarding participation in statewide assessments (PSSA or PASA)			
7	0	3				FR 294. If the student will participate in the PSSA, documentation of IEP team decision regarding participation with or without accommodations			
1	0	9				FR 295. If the student will participate in the PASA, an explanation of why the student cannot participate in the PSSA			
1	0	9				FR 296. If the student will participate in the PASA, explanation of why PASA is appropriate			
1	0	9				FR 297. If the student will participate in the PASA, how student's performance will be documented (videotape or written narrative)			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
8	0	2				FR 298. Indication of IEP team decision regarding participation in local assessments (local or alternate local)			
7	0	3				FR 299. If the student will participate in local assessments, indication of IEP team decision regarding participation with or without accommodations			
1	0	9				FR 300. If the IEP indicates the student will participate in an alternate local assessment, explanation of why the student cannot participate in the regular assessment			
1	0	9				FR 301. If the student will participate in an alternate local assessment, explanation of why the alternate assessment is appropriate			
						ANNUAL GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (INCLUDING ACADEMIC AND FUNCTIONAL GOALS) (File Reviews)			
3	7	0			70%	FR 302. Measurable Annual Goals	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
9	1	0			10%	FR 303. Description of how student progress toward meeting goals will be measured			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
7	3	0			30%	FR 304. Description of when periodic reports on progress will be provided to parents	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
2	8	0			80%	FR 305. Documentation of progress reporting on Annual Goals	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
1	0	9				FR 306. Short Term Objectives			
						SPECIAL EDUCATION/RELATED SERVICES/SUPPLEMENTARY AIDS AND SERVICES/PROGRAMS MODIFICATIONS (File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 307. Program Modifications and Specially-Designed Instruction			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
5	0	5				FR 308. If the student's most recent Evaluation Report contained recommendations for modifications and accommodations, did the IEP team address those recommendations in development of this IEP			
7	3	0			30%	FR 309. If Program Modifications and Specially Designed Instruction are included on the IEP, the location, frequency, projected beginning date and anticipated duration of services	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
0	0	10				FR 310. If a student attends a Career or Vocational Technical School, evidence that the specially designed instruction addresses the student's needs in Career and Vocational Technical School			
4	3	3			43%	FR 311. If Related Services are included on the IEP, the location, frequency, projected beginning date and anticipated duration of services	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
2	0	8				FR 312. If the student's most recent Evaluation Report contained recommendations for the provision of related services, including psychological counseling, did the IEP team address those recommendations in development of this IEP			
6	4	0			40%	FR 313. If Supports for school personnel are included on the IEP, the personnel to receive support, support, location, frequency, projected beginning date and anticipated duration of services	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
3	0	7				FR 314. If the student's most recent Evaluation Report contained recommendations for program modifications or supports for school personnel provided for the student, did the IEP team address those recommendations in development of this IEP			
0	0	10				FR 315. Support services, if the student is identified as gifted and also is identified as a student with a disability			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
6	4	0			40%	FR 316. A conclusion regarding student eligibility for ESY	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
4	6	0			60%	FR 317. Information or data reviewed by the IEP team to support the ESY eligibility determination	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
0	2	8			100%	FR 318. Where ESY services were deemed appropriate, annual goals and when appropriate, short term objectives that are to be addressed in the child's ESY program	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
0	2	8			100%	FR 319. Where ESY was determined to be appropriate, ESY service to be provided, location, frequency, projected beginning date and anticipated duration of services	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
						EDUCATIONAL PLACEMENT (File Reviews)			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
8	2	0			20%	FR 320. Explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with students without disabilities in the regular education class	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
8	2	0			20%	FR 321. Explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with students without disabilities in the general education curriculum	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
10	0	0				FR 322. Type of support, by amount (itinerant, supplemental, full-time)			
10	0	0				FR 323. Type of special education supports, e.g. autistic support, emotional support, learning support, etc.			
7	1	2			13%	FR 324. Location of student's program (name of LEA where the IEP will be implemented)			
7	1	2			13%	FR 325. Location of student's program (name of School Building where the IEP will be implemented)			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
0	0	10				FR 326. If child will not be attending his/her neighborhood school, reason why not			
						PENNDATA REPORTING FOR EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT (File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 327. Completed Section A or Section B			
						IEP DEVELOPMENT			
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent & General Education Teacher)			
7	0	0	0			P 28. Were you invited to participate in your child's most recent IEP team meeting?			
7	0	0	0			P 29. Did you participate in developing the current IEP for your child?			
7	0	0	0			P 30. Was the meeting held at a time and location that was convenient for you?			
4	0	3	0			P 31. If you were unable to participate in person, did the school offer other arrangements for you to participate by phone or through other methods?			
6	0	1	0			P 32. Was the input you provided considered in the development of your child's current IEP?			
4	1	2	0			P 33. Were the services you requested for your child considered by the IEP team in the development of your child's current IEP?			
5	2	0	0			P 35. Was the current IEP developed at the IEP meeting?			
4	0	3	0			P 36. If there was a draft IEP developed prior to the IEP meeting were you provided a copy of the draft either before or at the meeting?			
6	0	1	0			P 37. Were the special education teacher, the general education teacher and the school representative at the IEP meeting?			
3	0	4	0			P 38. If required IEP team members (special education teacher, general education teacher, or LEA) did not attend the meeting, did you agree in writing to them not being there?			
5	0	2	0			P 39. Was written input from the excused IEP team member(s) available to you before the meeting?			
		7	0			P 65. If you did not participate in your child's IEP meeting, what kept you from participating?			
7	3	0				GE 74. Did you attend the most recent IEP meeting for this student or have the opportunity to provide input?			
4	4	2				GE 75. Did you recommend any needed supports to implement the current IEP for this student?			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
4	0	6				GE 76. Were those recommendations considered by the IEP team?			
10	0	0				GE 86. When a student with a disability is included in your class do you have the opportunity to provide information to the IEP team?			
8	2	0				GE 87. Do you provide progress monitoring data as part of the IEP development process?			
						IEP CONTENT			
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent, General & Special Education Teacher)			
7	0	0	0			P 40. Did the IEP team consider the recommendations that were made in your child's most recent evaluation, including all recommendations that were made by the evaluation team for special education, related services, and supports for school personnel?			
5	1	1	0			P 41. Did the IEP team accept or reject the evaluation team's recommendations for special education, related services, and supports for school personnel for appropriate educational reasons (cue: vs. for example lack of staff, lack of funds, lack of availability of services)?			
10	0	0				GE 81. Are this student's goals based on the PA Academic Standards or, if appropriate, alternate standards?			
10	0	0				GE 82. Is the specially designed instruction in this student's current IEP appropriate to meet his/her educational needs?			
9	1	0				GE 83. Is the current IEP appropriate to meet this student's educational needs?			
10	0	0				SE 98. Unless otherwise specified in the student's IEP, is the length of this student's instructional day the same as nondisabled students?			
10	0	0				SE 102. Is the specially-designed instruction in the current IEP appropriate to meet this student's educational needs?			
10	0	0				SE 103. Are the student's annual goals based on the PA Academic Standards or, if appropriate, alternate standards?			
7	0	3				SE 104. If appropriate, are the student's annual goals based on functional performance?			
6	0	4				SE 106. If the student's most recent Evaluation Report contained recommendations for modifications and accommodations did the IEP team address those recommendations in development of the child's current IEP and accept or reject the ER recommendations for appropriate educational reasons?			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
6	0	4				SE 107. If the student's most recent Evaluation Report contained recommendations for provision of related services, including psychological counseling, did the IEP team address those recommendations in development of the child's current IEP and accept or reject the ER recommendations for appropriate educational reasons?			
6	0	4				SE 108. If the student's most recent Evaluation Report contained recommendations for program modifications or supports for school personnel that will be provided for the child, did the IEP team address those recommendations in development of the child's current IEP and accept or reject the ER recommendations for appropriate educational reasons?			
10	0	0				SE 112. Was it an IEP team decision as to whether this student would participate in the PSSA, PASA, and other district-wide/charter school-wide assessments?			
10	0	0				SE 117. Is this student making progress in meeting the annual goals of his/her current IEP?			
10	0	0				SE 118. Is the progress on annual goals recorded and reported to the parent based on objective and measurable data?			
						IEP IMPLEMENTATION			
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent, General & Special Education Teacher)			
7	0	0	0			P 48. Were the special education and related services in your child's current IEP provided within 10 school days of the completion of the IEP?			
7	0	0	0			P 49. Are the special education and related services included in your child's current IEP provided at no cost to you?			
					4 1 1 1 0 0	P 57. When all students in the school receive a report card, I also receive a progress report on my child's IEP goals. Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
						P 58. My child's progress is reported to me by the school in a manner that I understand.			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
					6 0 0 1 0 0	Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
7	0	0	0			P 64. My child is receiving the supports and services agreed upon at the IEP meeting.			
10	0	0				GE 77. If supports for school personnel are included in the student's current IEP, has the LEA provided those supports?			
10	0	0				GE 79. Are the supplementary aids and services, including program modifications and specially designed instruction in the student's current IEP, being provided?			
3	0	7				GE 84. If appropriate, are you implementing the positive behavior support plan for this student as written in the current IEP			
6	0	4				GE 92. If a student with an IEP is having behavioral difficulties in your classroom, do you address the behavior in your classroom rather than sending him/her back to the special education classroom to address the behavior issue unless indicated otherwise in the student's IEP?			
8	0	2				SE 105. Are the supplementary aids and services, including program modifications and specially designed instruction in the student's current IEP, being provided?			
10	0	0				SE 109. Is this student receiving the type and amount of special education instruction and related services specified in his/her current IEP?			
10	0	0				SE 110. Was this student's current IEP implemented no later than 10 school days after its completion or no later than the IEP implementation date?			
10	0	0				SE 111. If supports for school personnel are included in this student's current IEP, has the LEA provided those supports?			
10	0	0				SE 113. If required, were the testing accommodations included in this student's current IEP implemented?			
9	0	1				SE 114. Was the placement decision made by the IEP team after the annual goals, specially designed instruction, and related services were developed?			
10	0	0				SE 120. Is this student receiving the supports and services agreed upon in his/her current IEP, including related services?			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
						PROVISION OF ESY AND RELATED SERVICES INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent & Special Education Teacher)			
3	0	4	0			P 42. If your child's current IEP includes psychological counseling as a related service, and he/she receives these services, including transportation, are they provided at no cost to you?			
6	1	0	0			P 43. Was your child's need for extended school year (ESY) – which means services over the summer or during breaks from the regular school calendar - discussed at an IEP meeting?			
5	1	0	1			P 44. Did you receive an explanation of what would make your child eligible for ESY services?			
5	0	0	2			P 45. Did you agree with the IEP team's conclusion about your child's eligibility for ESY services?			
2	0	4	1			P 46. If you did not agree with the decision on ESY eligibility, were you given a written notice (NOREP/PWN) explaining that you could ask for a due process hearing?			
1	0	5	1			P 47. If your child was determined to be eligible for ESY services, did the IEP team decide upon the goals and services needed for the ESY program?			
8	0	2				SE 121. Was the consideration of ESY eligibility discussed during this student's current IEP meeting?			
1	0	9				SE 122. If this student was determined to be ESY eligible, did the IEP team determine what goals and services were needed and include them in the IEP?			
						SECONDARY TRANSITION (Parent & Special Education Teacher)			
7	0	0	0			P 50. If your child is age 14 or older was he/she invited to participate in the IEP meeting for transition planning?			
					7 0 0 0 0 0	P 59. I am satisfied with the transition services developed for my child. Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
						P 60. My child is learning skills that will lead to a high school diploma and further education and/or employment.			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
					6 1 0 0 0 0	Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
10	0	0				SE 116. Were this student's desired post school outcomes considered when the IEP team developed the annual goals?			
10	0	0				SE 123. Where appropriate, does the LEA invite a representative of a participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services to the IEP meeting?			
						Topical Area 6: NOREP/PWN			
						(File Reviews)			
10	0	0				FR 328. NOREP/PWN is present in the student file			
10	0	0				FR 329. Demographic data			
9	1	0			10%	FR 330. Type of action taken			
10	0	0				FR 331. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA			
10	0	0				FR 332. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action			
8	1	1			11%	FR 333. A description of the other options the IEP team considered and the reason why those options were rejected (if action is in regard to educational placement, options considered must begin with the regular education environment with supplementary aids and services)			
9	0	1				FR 334. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or action refused			
6	1	3			14%	FR 335. Description of other factor(s) relevant to LEA's proposal or refusal			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
7	3	0			30%	FR 336. Educational placement recommended (including amount and type)	<p>The LEA will (1) expanded its system of data review and IEP development to include the teachers and para-professionals, in addition to the special education coordinator, (2) write a detailed sample IEP and trained staff on the same [completed since review] (3) participated in cohort 1 on transition training [completed] and, (4) reviewed the Pattan annotated formats to familiarize staff with the legal requirements of the major IDEIA documents and will reflect the same in new and reevaluated IEP's, ER's and NOREP's.</p> <p>The PDE Adviser will return and select a random sample of newly evaluated or reevaluated student files to verify corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
10	0	0				FR 337. Signature of school district superintendent or charter school CEO or designee			
10	0	0				FR 338. Parent signature or documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain consent (e.g. mailed to parents, certified mail, visit to the parent's home, etc.)			
10	0	0				FR 339. Parent has selected a consent option			
6	4	0			40%	FR 340. NOREP/PWN reflects the educational placement indicated on the student's IEP	<p>The LEA will review all NOREPs to ensure that the program and placements match the current program offered by the LEA.</p> <p>The PDE adviser will return and select a random sample of student files to verify the above corrective action.</p>	07/21/2010 LEA PATTAN-KOP Philadelphia IU	06/21/2010
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent)			
4	0	3	0			P 34. If services that you requested for your child were rejected by the school, did you receive a written notice (NOREP/PWN) explaining why the request was rejected?			
						P 61. If I don't understand my child's educational rights, and I inquire about them, someone from the school takes the time to explain them to me.			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
					7 0 0 0 0 0	Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
						Topical Area 7: Additional Interview Responses			
						INTERVIEW RESULTS (Parent & Special Education Teacher)			
					5 1 1 0 0 0	P 54. I am a partner with school personnel when we plan my child's education program. Always Sometimes Rarely Never Don't Know Does not Apply			
		0	0		2 1 1 2 1 3 2 1 1 3 2	P 66. Tell me anything you really like about your child's special education program. a. modifications b. progress reports c. staff-aide ratios d. staff's knowledge, training e. instructional materials g. staff open to suggestions, good communication h. follow the IEP i. support services j. student ratios k. staff's understanding and attitude n. other Opportunities to catch up. Not rushed to learn or complete activities. Given extensions. Very professional staff.			
		2	0		1 5	P 67. Tell me anything you would like to change about the program. d. staff's knowledge, training n. other Nothing Nothing Nothing Nothing Everyone was certified in special education. Know how to deal with ADHD or other disabilities.			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
		1	0		2 2 2	P 68. The school explains what options parents have if the parent disagrees with a decision of the school. a. Very strongly agree b. Strongly agree c. Agree			
						P 69. Additional comments about your child's program. I am very comfortable with the program & it is greatly appreciated. Good program. Good services. Really likes the school. I wish all kids had this opportunity. Very happy. School is helpful. This program has changed my child's level of self-esteem. Great school. She's come a long way. Happy that she's made honor roll. Good teachers & staff, very helpful.			
10	0	0				SE 101. Do you hold the required certification to implement this student's program?			
						Topical Area 8: Student Interview Results			
			0		9 2	S 126. What kind of support are you currently receiving? a. Learning Support k. Don't Know			
10	0	0	0			S 127. Is this support enough to help you be successful in your school program?			
					8 2 0 0 0	S 128. How satisfied are you with your high school educational program? Very Somewhat A Little Not at All Don't Know			
						S 129. What do you like best about the program?			
						S 130. What do you like least about the program?			
						S 131. How satisfied are you with your special education supports/services?			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
					6 4 0 0 0	Very Somewhat A Little Not at All Don't Know			
						S 132. What do you like best about the special education supports/services?			
						S 133. What do you like least about the special education supports/services?			
					2 6 2 0 0	S 134. How much time do you spend with students who do not have disabilities? Too Much Enough A Little Not Enough Don't Know			
8	2	0	0			S 135. Do you participate in any extra-curricular activities?			
						S 136. If yes, which ones			
						S 137. If no, why not			
10	0		0			S 138. Were you invited to participate in the last IEP meeting? Other			
10	0		0			S 139. Did you participate in the last IEP meeting? Other			
9	1		0			S 140. Do you have a post secondary transition program? Other			
9	0		0			S 141. Do you have an employment transition program? Other College			
4	3		1			S 142. Do you have a community living transition program? Other College College - fashion design.			
10	0		0			S 143. Did you assist in the development of the transition program? Other			

Y	N	NA	DK	Not Obs	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action Evidence of Change	Timelines and Resources	Closed Date
10	0		0			S 144. Is that transition plan being followed? Other			
9	1		0			S 145. Did you discuss what you would do after graduation or finishing high school? Other			
			0		1 5 1 3	S 146. Which of the following agencies participate in your IEP development? a. Office of Vocational Rehabilitation e. None f. Other Agencies Spanish Center on 5th street. g. Don't Know			
1	0		4			S 147. If any agency participated in your IEP did they assist you or provide services? Other			
						S 148. Comments			
8	2	0	0			S 149. Do you participate in any activities in the community?			
						S 150. If yes, which ones?			
						S 151. If no, why not?			
						S 152. Are there any other agencies that could help you within the community?			
						Topical Area 9: Other Non-compliance Issues			
						Topical Area 10: Other Improvement Plan Issues			
						LEA to maintain training records of personnel development and parent trainings related to special education specific topics.	LEA will maintain agenda and sign in sheets of personnel development and parent trainings related to special education topic. The PDE adviser will review the agendas and sign in sheets of trainings records maintained. NOTE: Due date has been corrected. It originally indicated 12/31/10 but it was to be 12/31/11.	12/31/2011 LEA	12/20/2011